

15 December 2000

MEMORANDUM

From: PNC [REDACTED]  
To: LT [REDACTED]

SUBJ: NUMBERS FOR THE COLE

1. The following info is provided.
  - a) 277 Personnel on board COB 15 December 2000.
  - b) 295 Personnel on board COB 12 October 2000.
  - c) 181 Personnel reported prior to 30 November 1999.
  - d) 114 Personnel reported after 01 December 1999.
  - e) 18 Personnel have been diary off since 13 October 2000.  
(17 Deceased personnel) (1 discharge)
  - f) All the above numbers include the 17 deceased personnel.
  - g) Turnover ratio from 01 December 1999 to 12 October 2000 is  
38.6%.

**Vance, Craig E CAPT (ATGL N01)**

---

**From:** Bogdanowicz, Robert CAPT  
(CNSL N1)  
Bogdanowicz, Robert CAPT (CNSL N1) <rbogdanowicz@cnsl187p2000navy.navy.mil>  
7:35 AM  
**To:** 'Vance, Craig E Capt (ATGL N01)'  
**Subject:** COLE Turnover

Craig,  
Update on the turnover rate. In the specified period (Nov99 - Dec00) they lost 10 CPOs and gained 6, the mess was 26 CPOs. My math makes that a 35.8% turnover, which I think is right in line with the rest of the crew.

r/ bob

**Miller, Michael J CAPT (ATGL N00)**

---

**From:** Vance, Craig E CAPT (ATGL N01)  
Friday, December 15, 2000 1:12 PM  
**To:** Miller, Michael J CAPT (ATGL N00)  
**Cc:** McClane, James L CAPT (ATGL N00)  
**Subject:** FW: USS COLE

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Bogdanowicz, Robert CAPT (CNSL N1) [SMTP:RBogdanowicz@cnsi.spear.navy.mil]  
**Sent:** Friday, December 15, 2000 1:04 PM  
**To:** 'Vance, Craig E Capt (ATGL N01)'  
**Subject:** FW: USS COLE

Craig,

Attached at the bottom is the enlisted information. I gave you all the underlying numbers just in case it might come in handy. As far as the officer number, I think 48% is the number you are looking for. On the CPO cut, I know that is not in there and I am trying to flush that last piece of information for you, but thought you might like what I have so far.

R/ Bob

-----Original Message-----

**From:** ...ne, Kevin LT (CNSL N121)  
**Sent:** Friday, December 15, 2000 10:55 AM  
**To:** Bogdanowicz, Robert CAPT (CNSL N1)  
**Cc:** Morris, David LCDR (CNSL N12)  
**Subject:** RE: Cole crew turnover

Sir,

There are two cuts on this:

Strictly by gains, size of wardroom prior is 23, so 56% based on 13 gains.

It appears two of the 13 billets, 1st LT and ASWO did not detach upon gaining a relief. So based on a net turnover of 11 billets, that would be 48%.

Either way it is approx 50%.

V/r,  
Kevin

> - Original Message-----

> **From:** Payton, Glenn LT (CNSL N141)  
> **Sent:** Friday, December 15, 2000 12:53 PM  
> **To:** Bogdanowicz, Robert CAPT (CNSL N1)  
> **Cc:** Laury, James CDR (CNSL N14); 'ep412@epmac.nola.navy.mil'

> Subject: FW: USS COLE

>

> N1,

>

info provided from EPMAC in regards to the USS Cole manning.  
enn

>

> ~~Original Message~~

> From: PNC Alan C. Reling [mailto:[EP412D@EPMAC.NOLA.NAVY.MIL](mailto:EP412D@EPMAC.NOLA.NAVY.MIL)]

> Sent: Friday, December 15, 2000 12:42 PM

> To: [EP412@EPMAC.NOLA.NAVY.MIL](mailto:EP412@EPMAC.NOLA.NAVY.MIL)

> Subject: USS COLE

>



COLE PERS.doc

> <<COLE PERS.doc>>

**Vance, Craig E CAPT (ATGL N01)**

---

**From:** Vance, Craig E CAPT (ATGL N01)  
Tuesday, December 19, 2000  
10:40 AM  
**To:** Vance, Craig E CAPT (ATGL N01)  
**Subject:** FW: COLE Turnover

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Bogdanowicz, Robert CAPT (CNSL N1)  
[SMTP:RBogdanowicz@cnsi.spear.navy.mil]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 18, 2000 7:35 AM  
**To:** 'Vance, Craig E Capt (ATGL N01)'  
**Subject:** COLE Turnover

Craig,

Update on the turnover rate. In the specified period (Nov99 - Dec00) they lost 10 CPOs and gained 6, the mess was 26 CPOs. My math makes that a 35.8% turnover, which I think is right in line with the rest of the crew.

r/ bob