

07 DEC 2000  
CWO4 BROWN  
445-0980 EXT. 256

MEMORANDUM

From: Chief Warrant Officer/W4 Henry D. Brown, USN  
To: Captain M. J. Miller, USN

Subj: USS COLE (DDG 67) RECORD OF DAMAGE CONTROL TRAINING

- Encl: (1) Event Summary from Schedule Viewer history on subject ship.  
(2) End of Visit Report 14 Jan. 99 (Executive Summary)  
(3) End of Visit Report 19 Feb. 99 (Executive Summary)  
(4) End of Visit Report 05 May 99  
(5) End of Visit Report 16 June 99  
(6) End of Visit Report 14 Jan. 00  
(7) End of Visit Report 01 Mar. 00

1. Summary of training and comments as follows:

DATE	EVENT	COMMENTS
12-14 JAN 99	ENG LTT	1) DCTT effective. 2) Training is partially effective. 3) PQS program is partially effective.
17-19 FEB 99	ENG LTT	1) Fire Fighting was effective. 2) DCTT was partially effective. 3) Training was partially effective.
03-07 MAY 99	CART II	1) DCTT established and functioning at TSTA level. 2) Self-assessment not completed. 3) DCTT has positive attitude. 4) Spend more time on topside damage 5) Improve impositions. 6) 51% Yoke and 20% Zebra scores.
17-21 MAY 99	LTT	1) No record of comment.
03-04 JUN 99	LTT	1) No record of comment.
15-16 JUN 99	FEP	1) Positive initiative displayed by DCTT. 2) Positive teamwork displayed by DCTT. 3) Steady improvement. 4) FXP-4 grade sheets not used. 5) Standard Questions and answers not used. 6) DCTT established and effective. 7) Recommended CBR-D LTT prior to deployment. 8) Recommended DCPO Yoke spot-checks. 9) Impositions need improvement.
14-19 OCT 99	GROUP SAIL	1) No record of comment. 2) Phase One Objective (topside damage)
05-08 OCT 99	GROUP SAIL	1) No record of comment.

- 14 JAN 00 LTT (CBR-D) 2) Phase Five Objectives (ITT, Mass casualty)  
1) Level of knowledge is poor.
- 01 MAR 00 LTT (CBR-D) 1) Level of Knowledge is poor.  
2) DCTT level of knowledge and enthusiasm is low.

  
H. D. Brown

Cc: COMAFLOATTRAGRU, Norfolk, VA.

12/05/2000

## MULTIPLE EVENT SUMMARY

From: 01/01/1998 To:

Ship/Unit = Cole

---

### Event Summary

Unit Name:	Cole	ISIC:	CDS 22	Battlegroup:	Washington
Description:	Eng GT	Command:	NATG	Department:	Engineering
Start Date:	11/02/1998	Stop Date:	11/06/1998		
Starting Location:	Norfolk, VA				
Ending Location:	Norfolk, VA				
Travel Required:	No	Orders Prep'd:	No		
Remarks:	P1.				

### Personnel Assigned

<u>Name</u>	<u>Rate/Rank</u>	<u>Dept</u>	<u>Division</u>
	GSEC	ENG	GT1
	MMCM	ENG	CT1
	DCC	ENG	GT4
	LCDR	ENG	GT4
	GSMC	ENG	GT4
	ENC	ENG	GT4

---

### Event Summary

Unit Name:	Cole	ISIC:	CDS 22	Battlegroup:	Washington
Description:	SBTT (S)	Command:	NATG	Department:	Engineering
Start Date:	11/18/1998	Stop Date:	11/20/1998		
Starting Location:	Norfolk, VA				
Ending Location:	Norfolk, VA				
Travel Required:	No	Orders Prep'd:	No		
Remarks:					

### Personnel Assigned

<u>Name</u>	<u>Rate/Rank</u>	<u>Dept</u>	<u>Division</u>
EI, Charles	GSCS	ENG	Admin

---

ENC L (1)

Event Summary

Unit Name: Cole  
Description: Eng GT  
Start Date: 12/08/1998  
Starting Location: Norfolk, VA  
Ending Location: Norfolk, VA  
Travel Required: No  
Remarks:

ISIC: CDS 22  
Command: NATG  
Stop Date: 12/09/1998

Battlegroup: Washington  
Department: Engineering

Orders Prep'd: No

Personnel Assigned

<u>Name</u>	<u>Rate/Rank</u>	<u>Dept</u>	<u>Division</u>
[REDACTED]	GSEC	ENG	GT1
[REDACTED]	GSMC	ENG	GT1
[REDACTED]	ENCS	ENG	GT3
[REDACTED]	GSCM	ENG	GT1
[REDACTED]	DCCS	ENG	GT1
[REDACTED]	GSMC	ENG	GT1
[REDACTED]	LCDR	ENG	GT1
[REDACTED]	GSCS	ENG	GT1

Event Summary

Unit Name: Cole  
Description: Eng GT  
Start Date: 01/12/1999  
Starting Location: Norfolk, VA  
Ending Location:  
Travel Required: No  
Remarks:

ISIC: CDS 22  
Command: NATG  
Stop Date: 01/15/1999

Battlegroup: Washington  
Department: Engineering

Orders Prep'd: No

Personnel Assigned

<u>Name</u>	<u>Rate/Rank</u>	<u>Dept</u>	<u>Division</u>
[REDACTED]	EMCS	ENG	ST2
[REDACTED]	DCC	ENG	ST2
[REDACTED]	GSCS	ENG	GT1

Event Summary

Unit Name: Cole  
Description: Eng GT  
Start Date: 01/25/1999  
Starting Location: Norfolk, VA  
Ending Location:  
Travel Required: No  
Remarks:

ISIC: CDS 22  
Command: NATG  
Stop Date: 01/29/1999

Battlegroup: Washington  
Department: Engineering

Orders Prep'd: No

Personnel Assigned

<u>Name</u>	<u>Rate/Rank</u>	<u>Dept</u>	<u>Division</u>
-------------	------------------	-------------	-----------------

Event Summary

Unit Name: Cole ISIC: CDS 22 Battlegroup: Washington  
Description: Eng GT Command: NATG Department: Engineering  
Start Date: 01/25/1999 Stop Date: 01/29/1999  
Starting Location: Norfolk, VA  
Ending Location:  
Travel Required: No Orders Prep'd: No  
Remarks:

Personnel Assigned

<u>Name</u>	<u>Rate/Rank</u>	<u>Dept</u>	<u>Division</u>
Stein, Kenneth	GSCS	ENG	GT1

Event Summary

Unit Name: Cole ISIC: CDS 22 Battlegroup: Washington  
Description: Eng GT Command: NATG Department: Engineering  
Start Date: 02/17/1999 Stop Date: 02/19/1999  
Starting Location: VCOA  
Ending Location:  
Travel Required: No Orders Prep'd: No  
Remarks:

Personnel Assigned

<u>Name</u>	<u>Rate/Rank</u>	<u>Dept</u>	<u>Division</u>
	GSEC	ENG	GT1
	GSMC	ENG	GT1
	ENCS	ENG	GT3
	GSCM	ENG	GT1
	DCCS	ENG	GT1
	LCDR	ENG	GT1
	GSCS	ENG	GT1

Event Summary

Unit Name: Cole ISIC: CDS 22 Battlegroup: Washington  
Description: CART II Command: NATG Department: Engineering  
Start Date: 05/03/1999 Stop Date: 05/07/1999  
Starting Location: Norfolk, VA  
Ending Location: Norfolk, VA  
Travel Required: No Orders Prep'd: No  
Remarks: NO ETG REQUIRED.

Personnel Assigned

<u>Name</u>	<u>Rate/Rank</u>	<u>Dept</u>	<u>Division</u>
-------------	------------------	-------------	-----------------



Event Summary

Unit Name: Cole  
Description: SAR Evaluation  
Start Date: 11/30/1999  
Starting Location: Norfolk, VA  
Ending Location:  
Travel Required: No  
Remarks:

ISIC: CDS 22  
Command: NATG  
Stop Date: 11/30/1999

Battlegroup: Washington  
Department: DASMN

Orders Prep'd: No

Personnel Assigned

<u>Name</u>	<u>Rate/Rank</u>	<u>Dept</u>	<u>Division</u>
[REDACTED]	OSCS	DAS	SUW
[REDACTED]	OS1	DAS	SUW

Event Summary

Unit Name: Cole  
Description: DC  
Start Date: 02/28/2000  
Starting Location: VCOA  
Ending Location:  
Travel Required: No  
Remarks:

ISIC: CDS 22  
Command: NATG  
Stop Date: 03/01/2000

Battlegroup: Washington  
Department: DASMN

Orders Prep'd: No

Personnel Assigned

<u>Name</u>	<u>Rate/Rank</u>	<u>Dept</u>	<u>Division</u>
[REDACTED]	DCC	DAS	Damage Contr

Event Summary

Unit Name: Cole  
Description: Personnel Training  
Start Date: 04/17/2000  
Starting Location: Norfolk, VA  
Ending Location:  
Travel Required: No  
Remarks:

ISIC: CDS 22  
Command: NATG  
Stop Date: 04/21/2000

Battlegroup: Washington  
Department: Personnel Admin

Orders Prep'd: No

Personnel Assigned

<u>Name</u>	<u>Rate/Rank</u>	<u>Dept</u>	<u>Division</u>
[REDACTED]	PNC	PER	PATT
[REDACTED]	DKC	PER	PATT
[REDACTED]	YNC	PER	PATT

Event Summary

Unit Name: Cole  
Description: Personnel Training  
Start Date: 04/17/2000  
Starting Location: Norfolk, VA  
Ending Location:  
Travel Required: No  
Remarks:

ISIC: CDS 22  
Command: NATG  
Stop Date: 04/21/2000

Battlegroup: Washington  
Department: Personnel Admin

Orders Prep'd: No

Personnel Assigned

<u>Name</u>	<u>Rate/Rank</u>	<u>Dept</u>	<u>Division</u>
-------------	------------------	-------------	-----------------

ENGINEERING TRAINING GROUP  
NORFOLK  
BLDG. CEP-195

OIC  
ENGINEERING TRAINING GROUP, NORFOLK  
9890 FIRST STREET  
NORFOLK, VIRGINIA 23511  
Comm: (757) 445-0656  
AV : 565 FAX: 445-0658  
BBS : 445-2786/2497/6565

Date: 14 January 99

From: Officer in Charge,  
Engineering Training Group Detachment, Norfolk

To: Commanding Officer, USS Cole (DDG-67)

Subj: VISIT REPORT SUMMARY

Encl: (1) Material Detailed Comments  
(2) Operations Detailed Comments  
(3) Fire Fighting Detailed Comments  
(4) Training Detailed Comments  
(5) Management Detailed Comments  
(6) Commanding Officer's Feedback Form  
(7) Engineering Officer's Feedback Form

1. VISIT DATA:

Dates: 12-14 January 99  
Location/Status: Pier 25/Norfolk  
Type of Visit: PLOT II  
Date/Type of last ETG Visit: LTT/8 December 98  
Date/Type of next ETG Visit: 25-29 January 99/LOA  
Next LOA/CART II/E-CERT: LOA 25-29 January 99

2. ETG TEAM MEMBERS:

Officer in Charge: LCDR [REDACTED]  
Enlisted Team Leader: GSEC(SW) [REDACTED]  
Other Team Members: GSCS(SW) [REDACTED]  
ENCS(SW) [REDACTED]  
DCCS(SW) [REDACTED]  
GSMC(SW) [REDACTED]  
ISIC Representative: Material Officer, LCDR [REDACTED]  
ETG Project Officer/POC: GSEC(SW) [REDACTED]

ENCL (2)

3. USS Cole conducted a scheduled ETG PLOT II from January 12 to January 14. The visit followed the ship provided SOE and included reviews of seven administrative programs (PQS, Training, Heat Stress and Hearing Conservation Programs, Tag-Out Program, Electrical Safety and QA Programs). USS COLE is progressing on schedule towards light-off. Paragraph 9 identifies recommendations which will assist you in preparations for your next training visit.

4. MATERIAL: Material self-assessment was assessed as partially effective. Cleanliness, preservation, and stowage were partially effective. The following OOC equipment prevented the ship from maintaining minimum equipment to support a full range of drills and evolutions: Not applicable.

Specific material discrepancies are listed in enclosure (1).

5. OPERATIONS: Operations overall were not assessed. Two drills were conducted for training. 25 of 28 material checks were satisfactory. The root cause of unsatisfactory checks was material discrepancies. ETT was not assessed. Specific comments on operations are listed in enclosure (2).

6. FIREFIGHTING: Fire fighting was not assessed. One class ~~B~~ fire drill was conducted for training. DCTT is effective. Specific fire fighting comments are listed in enclosure (3).

7. TRAINING: Training overall was assessed as partially effective. The engineering training program was partially effective (on paper). PQS was partially effective. Specific training discrepancies are listed in enclosure (4).

8. ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS: Engineering Management Programs overall were assessed as partially effective. No programs were effective, 4 programs were partially effective, and no programs were not effective.

9. RECOMMENDATIONS. (These include items/areas in which the ship should concentrate/train prior to the next visit. These are IN ADDITION to the recommended courses of action under AREAS OF CONCERN.)

- Conduct safety checks in MER#2 as the space becomes available.
- Practice the orchestration of safety checks including fall-back plans and activities for when a particular check is not proceeding on schedule. This helps to avoid impeding overall progress.
- Conduct at least two more MSFD (MER2 and #3 GTG) at a minimum.
- Pre-plan a tour route for the CO/Senior Assessor Tour.

10. SITUATIONAL TRAINING was conducted in the following areas (strongly recommend reinforcement by follow-up training) and situational training should be documented on the monthly training schedule as unplanned training: None.

11. PRODUCTS delivered to the ship and to whom delivered: None.

12. EXERCISE COMPLETIONS. All exercises listed as satisfactory or better may be reported on the monthly training report.

13. DETAILED FINDINGS in each major area are contained in enclosures 1-5 of this report.

14. OTHER COMMENTS:

Engineer Officer: Name: LT [REDACTED]  
Date Reported: 19 May 1998  
Date of EOOW Qualification: 14 July 1998

MPA: Name: LTJG [REDACTED]  
Date Reported: 28 Nov 1995  
Date of EOOW Qualification: 18 March 1997

The ( CO ), ( XO ), ( ENG ), and ( ISIC representative; DESRON 22 ) were orally debriefed on the contents of this report.

---

J. R. Lones  
Officer in Charge

ENGINEERING TRAINING GROUP  
NORFOLK  
BLDG. CEP-195

OIC  
ENGINEERING TRAINING GROUP, NORFOLK  
8890 FIRST STREET  
NORFOLK, VIRGINIA 23511  
Comm: (757) 445-0656  
AV : 565 FAX: 445-0658  
BBS : 445-2786/2497/6565

Date: 19 February 1999

From: Officer in Charge, Engineering Training Group Detachment,  
Norfolk

To: Commanding Officer, USS COLE (DDG 67)

Subj: VISIT REPORT EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- Encl: (1) Material Detailed Comments  
(2) Operations Detailed Comments  
(3) Fire Fighting Detailed Comments  
(4) Training Detailed Comments  
(5) Management Detailed Comments  
(6) Commanding Officer's Feedback Form  
(7) Engineering Officer's Feedback Form

1. VISIT DATA:

Dates: 17 \* 19 February 1999  
Location/Status: NORVA/Underway VACAPES  
Type of Visit: LTT  
Date/Type of last ETG Visit: 14 January 1999/PLOT 2  
Date/Type of next ETG Visit: NA  
Next LOA/CART II/E-CERT: CART II w/attempt at ECERT

2. ETG TEAM MEMBERS:

Officer in Charge: LCDR [REDACTED]  
Enlisted Team Leader: GSEC (SW) [REDACTED]  
Other Team Members: GSCM (SW) [REDACTED]  
GSCS (SW) [REDACTED]  
DC'S (SW) [REDACTED]  
ENCS (SW) [REDACTED]  
GSMC (SW) [REDACTED]  
GSMC (SW) [REDACTED]  
ISIC Representative: None  
ETG Project Officer/POC: GSEC (SW) [REDACTED]

Copy to: ISIC: Commander, Destroyer Squadron 22  
ETG: Norfolk, Va.

ENCL (3)

3. USS COLE conducted a scheduled ETG LTT from 17 to 19 February 1999 and is proficient at a CART 2/TSTA 1 level, but behind schedule for an early engineering certification. This visit was the last scheduled ETG visit before an attempt at a combined CART II and ECERT. The ship reports that the material readiness findings from the ISIC LOA have been accepted by PEB; therefore, equipment safety checks were not conducted. The visit followed a ship's force prepared SOE that included a review of seven programs, drills and evolutions, and a main space fire drill. The programs reviewed were: Tagout Program, Hearing Conservation and Heat Stress Programs, Electrical Safety Program, Legal Records and Operation Logs, and the Quality Assurance Program. Paragraph nine identifies recommendations that will assist you in preparations for your next engineering event.

3a. AREAS OF CONCERN (AOC).

- ETT drill preparation and contingency planning

4. MATERIAL: Material self-assessment was assessed as partially effective; however, the ship did not present a formal self-assessment. Material safety checks were not conducted during this visit. The ship was not able to achieve high power due to water depth (60-45 ft under the sonar dome). Cleanliness, preservation, and stowage were partially effective. The following OOC equipment prevented the ship from maintaining minimum equipment to support a full range of drills and evolutions: None.

Specific material discrepancies are listed in enclosure (1).

5. OPERATIONS: Operations overall was assessed as partially effective. 14 of 23 drills and 5 of 10 evolutions were satisfactory. The root cause for unsatisfactory drills and evolutions was personnel level of knowledge. ETT was partially effective. Specific comments on operations are listed in enclosure (2).

6. FIREFIGHTING: Fire fighting was effective. One class ~~B~~ fire drill and 2 of 2 class ~~C~~ fire drills were satisfactory. DCTT was partially effective. Specific fire fighting comments are listed in enclosure (3).

7. TRAINING: Training overall was assessed as partially effective based on operations and ETG's evaluation of ETT. The engineering department training program and PQS programs were not assessed at the ship's request based on the findings of their ISIC LOA. Specific training discrepancies are listed in enclosure (4).

8. ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT PROGRAMS: Engineering management programs overall were assessed as partially effective. 2 programs

were effective, 5 programs were partially effective, and 7 programs were not assessed at the ship's request.

9. RECOMMENDATIONS: (These include items/areas in which the ship should concentrate/train prior to the next visit.

- Conduct ETT and DCTT prop recognition training with watchstanders.
- Continue to conduct BECCes and fire drills to improve watch stander proficiency.
- Conduct ETT training with emphasis on scenarios, realistic cause and effect relationships and indications, and drill planning and execution.
- Conduct thorough space walk through's early enough to identify material problems that would preclude starting drills on time.
- Conduct observed evolutions using other departmental CPO's and Division officers as assessors.

10. SITUATIONAL TRAINING was conducted in the following areas and should be documented as unplanned training (strongly recommend reinforcement by follow-up training): None

11. PRODUCTS delivered to the ship and to whom delivered: None.

12. EXERCISE COMPLETIONS. All exercises listed as satisfactory or better may be reported on the monthly training report.

13. DETAILED FINDINGS in each major area are contained in enclosures 1-5 of this report.

14. OTHER COMMENTS:

Engineer Officer: Name: Lt. [REDACTED]  
Date Reported: 19 May 1998  
Date of EOOW Qualification: 14 July 1998

MPA: Name: LTjg. [REDACTED]  
Date Reported: 28 November 1995  
Date of EOOW Qualification: 18 March 1997

The ( CO ), ( XO ), and (ENG) were orally debriefed on the contents of this report.

---

J. Lones  
Officer in Charge

05 May 1999

From: DCC(SW/AW) Michael G. Bates, Fleet Training Group, Norfolk  
: Training Liaison Officer

Subj: CART II ASSESSMENT REPORT

. CART Self-Assessment: The Damage Control portion of the ship self assessment was not fully completed and is in progress by the DCA.

. Training team assessment and recommendations:

(a) Administrative: The Damage Control Training Team is established and functioning.

(b) Performance: The Damage Control Training Team is capable of training at the TSTA level. The Damage Control Training Team displays a positive learning attitude; however, the following areas are of concern:

1. Continued emphasis on non-engineering drill scenarios.
2. Continue to develop new and innovative ways to impose casualties.

(c) Evolutions Observed:


1. Condition 1 Exercise

. Critical material/administrative/qualification deficiencies that may directly influence successful a FEP.

(a) Material Conditions of readiness: Yoke check for baseline was conducted with a score of 51 and Zebra score of 20. Specific training, shipwide, should be conducted on the setting of material conditions prior to FEP.

. General comments: It has been a pleasure working with the officers and crew of USS COLE and I look forward to future training opportunities.

If you have any questions or comments concerning the training process please feel free to contact me at (757) 464-8097 .

  
M. G. BATES

EWCL (4)

Enclosure (1)

16 June 1999

From: DCC (SW) Richard D. Grandberry, Senior Damage Control Instructor, FTG Norfolk  
To: Commanding Officer, USS Cole (DDG 67)  
Via: Training Liaison Officer, FTG Norfolk

Subj: FEP END OF RIDE REPORT FOR USS COLE (DDG 67)

1. The following exercises were conducted and graded by the ships' damage control training team.

MOB-D-3-SF MANNING BATTLE STATIONS  
MOB-D-8-SF MAJOR CONFLAGRATION EXERCISE  
MOB-D-11-SF MATERIAL CONDITIONS (YOKE)  
MOB-D-11-SF MATERIAL CONDITIONS (ZEBRA)  
MOB-D-14-SF FIRE EXTINGUISHING/ SMOKE CLEARANCE  
MOB-D-23-SF LOCATING DAMAGE CONTROL FITTINGS

2. The following exercise was not conducted.

MOB-D-15 SF CHEMICAL WARFARE DEFENSE

3. Training conducted during LTT/TSTA I:

DCTT/DCPO lectures  
Pipe patching lectures  
Shoring lectures  
Damage Control Closure Log maintenance  
Battle problem development  
Investigator training  
Repair locker organization for Repair Locker Officers and Leaders

4. Training team highlights:

Initiative exhibited by the DCTT  
DCTT's ability to work together as a team  
Steady improvement by DCTT on all areas during the training phase

5. Training team weaknesses:

Familiarization with FXP-4 gradesheets  
Use of standard questions and answers during training

6. Training team proficiency:

The DCTT is established and effective.

7. Safety awareness issues:

None

8. Areas of concern:

ENCL: (5)

LTT for CBR training prior to deploying. The War Wagon is also available depending on the time of the year requested to train the crew in pipe patching and shoring.

9. Recommendations:

Have the DCTT conduct spot-checks on the DCPO's for the proper setting of material conditions. Spot-checks of the compartment/space inspection PMS check will help identify deficiencies and ensure proper documentation. Continue to develop new and innovative ways to impose casualties. Review current damage control publications and apply them during training.

10. Closing comments:

USS Cole has done well during the training phase. Knowledge and performance by DCTT as well as the crew has increased dramatically.

11. Point of contact: DCC (SW) Richard D. Grandberry at commercial (757) 462-8097 or DSN 253-8097. We welcome any feedback that you may have concerning the training process.

*R.D. Grandberry*  
R. D. Grandberry

14 January, 2000

From: DCC(SW) Cynthia A. Rawlings, Team Leader, ATG, Norfolk  
To: Commanding Officer, USS COLE(DDG 67)

Subj: VISIT REPORT EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1. Visit Data:

Dates: 11-14 January 2000  
Location/Status: U/W VACAPES  
Type of Visit: LTT (CBR-D)  
Date/Type of last Visit:  
Date/Type of next Visit:  
Next Training Event LOA/  
CART II/ E-QUAL/CMTQ/FEP:

2. Team Members:

DCC Bowen

3. Visit Assessment:

Training conducted in CBR-D was well received. USS COLE crewmembers are focused on meeting their CBR-D pre-deployment objectives and willing to go the extra mile in that endeavor.

4. Material Issues:

The following material discrepancies did not restrict the ability to conduct training:

a. None.

5. Scheduling Issues:

The schedule did support previously planned training (SOE) for CBR.

6. Training Teams:

Ship's training teams were able to plan, brief, execute, and debrief training events as follows:

a. Conducted two detailed CBR walk-thru drills.

7. The ship's watch teams/special teams demonstrated proficiency, based on training objectives as follows:

The DCTT and repair parties were trained for readiness to conduct follow-on CBR drills. CBR Bill validation was conducted with no major discrepancies.

ENCL (6)

8. Training Scheduled/Training Conducted:

DC--CBR-D for Locker Officers & Chiefs, DCTT and repair locker personnel.

9. Area of concern:

a. Level of knowledge of crew on CBR relative issues is poor.

10. Recommendations for improvement:

- a. Continue to train in CBR.
- b. Play CBR videos, on site TV, during training periods.
- c. Conduct follow-on drills. As levels of knowledge grow, speed up the timeline to include decontamination of topside areas.
- d. Include, for bridge personnel, OS support from ATG on next CBR training mission.
- e. Incorporate changes to CBR bill, as soon as possible, to facilitate the follow-on drills.

11. The Commanding Officer was debriefed on the contents of this report.

Very Respectfully,



C. A. RAWLINGS

01 March, 2000

From: DCC(SW) Cynthia A. Rawlings, Team Leader, ATG, Norfolk  
To: Commanding Officer, USS COLE (DDG-67)

Subj: VISIT REPORT EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

1. Visit Data:

Dates: 28 February-01 March 2000  
Location/Status: U/W VACAPES  
Type of Visit: LTT (CBR-D)  
Date/Type of last Visit: 11-14 January CBR LTT  
Date/Type of next Visit:  
Next Training Event LOA/  
CART II/ E-QUAL/CMTQ/FEP:

2. Team Members:

None

3. Visit Assessment:

Training conducted in CBR-D was used to cement in knowledge gained from previous visit and to train newly reported locker personnel. USS COLE crewmembers trained new team members in all CBR related positions.

4. Material Issues:

The following material discrepancies did not restrict the ability to conduct training:

a. None.

5. Scheduling Issues:

The schedule did support previously planned training (SOE) for CBR.

6. Training Teams:

Ship's training teams were able to plan, brief, execute, and debrief training events as follows:

a. Conducted one CBR drill. DCTT brief proved to be inadequate and needs to be more detailed. Problem areas were caught and debriefed, but could have been avoided.

7. The ship's watch teams/special teams demonstrated proficiency, based on training objectives as follows:

The DCTT and repair parties trained for readiness in a CBR environment. CBR Bill validation was completed and new survey sheets were provided and used by team members.

ENCL (7)

3. Training Scheduled/Training Conducted:

Damage Control--CBR-D refresher for Locker Officers & Chiefs, DCTT and repair locker personnel.

9. Area of concern:


- a. Level of knowledge of crew on CBR relative issues has increased due to last visit, but crew turnover made re-training a must in all areas.
- b. DCTT knowledge level and enthusiasm was noticeably low.

10. Recommendations for improvement:

- a. Continue to train in CBR.
- b. Play CBR videos, on site TV, during training periods.
- c. Have ATG come aboard, inport prior to next underway, to conduct OS training on CBR plotting procedures and required messages.
- d. Conduct weekly DCTT training time and to be used in conjunction with weekly repair locker training to follow later in the week.

11. The Commanding Officer was debriefed on the contents of this report.

Very Respectfully,



C. A. RAWLINGS